

	Financial Capability	Revenue Estimates	CAPEX	OpEx (annual)	City Revenue Share	MAG
Tranzito	Collectively the team has financial capability	Achievable w /proposed inventory	\$277 million	\$34 million	15% to 61%	\$30 million
	Key Benefits	Key Issues	Potential Resolutions		Subcommittee Notes/Rank	
Scenario 1 100% Partner CAPEX	-No Capital costs to City -City retains a reasonable share of revenues	-Tranzito & partners retain 100% SPV ownership -Governed by representatives of infrastructure financing team & Tranzito	-Explore other private financing options			
Scenario 2 50% Partner/50% City CAPEX	-Shared risk; Tranzito & partners and City share SPV ownership -Potential non-monetary contributions -City retains a reasonable share of revenues	- SPV model limits City control of program	-Negotiate change to governance structure			
Scenario 3 100% City CAPEX	-Program is firmly controlled by City -City retains a significant share of revenues (58% to 61%) -Best potential to achieve STAP goals	-Large Capital expense to City	-Rationalize Capital expenditures and unit costs -Focus start of program with essential elements only; pragmatically add additional inventory			
Scenario 4 Alternate Proposal	-Similar to Scenario 2, but lower investment into Capital costs by City -Potential non-monetary contributions	- SPV model limits City control of program	- Negotiate change to governance structure			

	-City retains a reasonable share of revenues			
<p>Note on Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs): The Price Proposal Sub-committee has determined four key risks associated with using a SPV to finance STAP Capital Expenses. These are (1) Policy and Program Control, (2) Accountability concerning public engagement and sensitivity to issues such as digital, (3) Revenue share; an additional party would take a share of revenues, and (4) SPV options may require a longer decision-making process. <i>SPVs are proposed in all of Tranzito's scenarios except Scenario 3.</i></p>				

	Financial Capability	Revenue Estimates	CAPEX	OpEx (annual)	City Revenue Share	MAG
Intersection	Low net income w/moderate risk of financial stress	Conservative projections	\$191 million	\$29 million	50% to 60% (tiered >\$35million)	\$12.3 to \$18.5 million
	Key Benefits	Key Issues	Potential Resolutions		Subcommittee Notes/Rank	
Scenario 3 100% City CAPEX	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -MAG offered; fair percentage split of revenues to City (50%-60%) -Good potential to achieve STAP goals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -City will need to participate in OpEx for “Digital Hardware Maintenance” up to 50% -Revenue estimates are conservative due to limited number of revenue generating assets -Large capital expense to City -Capital & Operating expenses are economically unfeasible within a 10yr term 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Rationalize operating expenses -Rationalize Capital expenditures and unit costs -Consider a 15-year term and eliminate the City’s OpEx participation 			

	Financial Capability	Revenue Estimates	CAPEX	OpEx (annual)	City Revenue Share	MAG
Outfront/Decaux	Financially stable; high credit facility; strong sales	-Revenue estimates are conservative due to limited number of revenue-generating assets	\$112.5 million	\$21 million	0% to 30% (higher shares w tiers, but unattainable)	Offers the potential for a MAG, but does not specify under which scenario that would be possible
	Key Benefits	Key Issues	Potential Resolutions		Subcommittee Notes/Rank	
Scenario 1 100% Partner CAPEX	-No Capital costs to City	-The City receives no share of revenues -O/D is able to recoup CAPEX and earn additional revenue (est. 26% of cumulative Gross Revenue)	-Require a MAG w revenue share			
Scenario 2 50% Partner/50% City CAPEX	-Shared risk; CAPEX 50/50	-Inadequate revenue share to City (15%) -CAPEX is understated; O/D proposes a limited number of elements outside of shelters that could address STAP goals, but states that actual quantities would be negotiated	-Require a MAG w revenue share			
Scenario 3 100% City CAPEX		-Inadequate revenue share to City (30%) -CAPEX likely to be higher than stated depending on quantities of additional elements	-Require a higher revenue share			

	Financial Capability	Revenue Estimates	CAPEX	OpEx (annual)	City Revenue Share	MAG
InSite	Financially stable; low credit facility; strong sales	Achievable projections; revenue estimates based on advertising on almost all program elements	\$102 million	\$17 million	6.9% to 95%	none
	Key Benefits	Key Issues	Potential Resolutions		Subcommittee Notes/Rank	
Scenario 1 100% Partner CAPEX	-No Capital costs to City	-Inadequate revenue share to City (7% Y5-10 only)	-Require a MAG with additional revenue share			
Scenario 2 50% Partner/50% City CAPEX	-Shared risk; CAPEX 50/50 -City retains a reasonable share of revenue (50%)	-Possibility of CAPEX being higher due to limited inventory proposal of elements that could address other STAP goals				
Scenario 3 100% City CAPEX	-City CAPEX costs are reasonable based on limited inventory mix of elements -Accelerated payback of CAPEX appears achievable based on timely roll out/ramp up	-Possibility of CAPEX being higher due to limited inventory proposal of elements that could address other STAP goals				